# Standard Test Method for Extension Force of Partially Oriented Yarn<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D 5344; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

#### 1. Scope

- 1.1 This test method covers the measurement of extension force developed while drawing a partially oriented filament yarn between pairs of draw rolls of different surface speeds.
- 1.2 Extension force provides an estimate of the yarn orientation.
- 1.3 This test method applies to partially oriented filament yarns less than 33.3 tex (300 denier), but it can be used for higher deniers by applying the test conditions as directed in Appendix X1.
- 1.4 The values stated in either SI or inch-pound units are to be regarded separately as standards. Within the text, the inch-pound units are shown in brackets. The values stated in each system are not exact equivalents; therefore, each system shall be used independently of the other. Combining values from the two systems may result in inaccuracy in the results.
- 1.5 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

#### 2. Referenced Documents

- 2.1 ASTM Standards:
- D 123 Terminology Related to Textiles<sup>2</sup>
- D 1776 Practice for Conditioning and Testing Textiles<sup>2</sup>
- D 2258 Practice for Sampling Yarn for Testing<sup>2</sup>
- D 2904 Practice for Interlaboratory Testing of a Textile Test Method that Produces Normally Distributed Data<sup>2</sup>
- D 2906 Practice for Statements on Number of Specimens for Textiles<sup>2</sup>
- 2.2 ASTM Adjuncts:

TEX-PAC<sup>3</sup>

#### 3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions:

- <sup>1</sup> This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D13 on Textiles and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D13.58 on Yarn Test Methods, General.
- Current edition approved Sept. 10, 1999. Published November 1999. Originally published as D 5344 93. Last previous edition D  $5344-93^{\epsilon 1}$ .
  - <sup>2</sup> Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 07.01.
- $^3$  PC programs on floppy disks are available through ASTM. For a  $3\frac{1}{2}$ -in. or  $5\frac{1}{2}$ -in. disk request ADJD2904.

- 3.1.1 *drawing*, *n*—*in textile processing*, the process of stretching or attenuating a material to increase the length per unit mass.
- 3.1.1.1 *Discussion*—This process orients the molecular chains in the length direction of partially oriented yarn.
- 3.1.2 *draw ratio* (*DR*), *n*—the relation of the final length per unit mass to original length per unit mass of a material resulting from drawing.
- 3.1.3 draw texturing, n—for processing thermoplastic fibers, the simultaneous or sequential process of drawing and imparting crimp, thus producing increased molecular orientation and increased bulk.
- 3.1.3.1 *Discussion*—The drawing and texturing stages may occur in separate, usually consecutive, zones of a machine (sequential draw texturing) or together in the same zone (simultaneous draw texturing).
- 3.1.4 *extension*, *n*—the change in length of a material due to stretching.
- 3.1.5 *extension force*, *n*—the force required to stretch a material to a given length.
- 3.1.6 *partially oriented yarn*, *n*—filament yarn in which polymer molecules are only partially aligned.
- 3.1.6.1 *Discussion*—Additional drawing of partially oriented yarn results in full orientation of the polymer molecules and optimizes the tensile properties of the yarn.
- 3.2 For definitions of other textile terms used in this test method refer to Terminology D 123.

## 4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 Partially oriented filament yarn withdrawn from a package is pretensioned, heated, and drawn on an instrument under conditions similar to those used in the draw-texturing process. A tension measuring head senses the force required to draw the running yarn a specified amount of its original length under given conditions.

#### 5. Significance and Use

- 5.1 This test method is considered satisfactory for acceptance testing of commercial shipments because current estimates of between-laboratory precision are acceptable and the method is used extensively in the trade for acceptance testing.
- 5.1.1 If there are differences or practical signficance between reported test results for two laboratories (or more), comparative tests should be performed to determine if there is

a statistical bias between them, using competent statistical assistance. As a minimum, the test samples to be used are as homogeneous as possible, are drawn from the material from which the disparate test results are obtained, and are assigned randomly in equal numbers to each laboratory for testing. Other materials with established test values may be used for this purpose. The test results from the two laboratories should be compared using a statistical test for unpaired data, at a probability level chosen prior to the testing series. If a bias is found, either its cause must be found and corrected, or future test results must be adjusted in consideration of the known bias.

- 5.2 Elapsed time between spinning and testing has a marked effect on the results of the draw tension test, especially during the first 24 h. Therefore, if tested within 24 h of spinning, specimens should be compared only if tested after the same elapsed time. No specimen should be tested within 4 h of spinning because the aging process is at its most rapid rate during this period, and the differences in rate due to fiber structure are most pronounced.
- 5.3 The extension force of manufactured filament yarns is related to the alignment of the molecules in the yarn filaments, which influences the yarn processing behavior. Knowledge of this property of partially oriented yarn is useful to determine processing conditions.

#### 6. Apparatus

6.1 Extension Force Measuring Instrument,<sup>4,5</sup> which will perform the test on a running threadline. This instrument should include the following: (1) an input section to withdraw the yarn from a package and position the yarn for delivery to the drawing section and establish constant tension, (2) a drawing section that should include a constant heat source to provide for the extension of the yarn, and (3) a means of recording the force required to draw the yarn.

#### 7. Sampling and Test Specimens

- 7.1 *Primary Sampling Unit*—Consider shipping containers of yarns to be the primary sampling unit.
- 7.2 Laboratory Sampling Unit—From the combined number of primary sampling units in a designated lot, take ten randomly selected packages as directed in Practice D 2258 as laboratory sampling units.
- 7.3 *Test Specimens*—For acceptance testing, take one test specimen from each laboratory sampling unit. For nylon and polyester, use a 50-m test length. For polypropylene, use a 100-m test length.

#### 8. Conditioning

8.1 Prior to conditioning, prepare the test packages by removing at least 100 m (10 yds) of yarn from the outside of each test package to avoid testing nonrepresentative yarn. No preconditioning is required.

Note 1—Preconditioning is generally not advisable because it prolongs the time required for conditioning.

8.2 Bring the specimens in package form to moisture equilibrium for testing in the standard atmosphere for testing textiles  $21\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$  ( $70\pm 2^{\circ}\text{F}$ ) and  $65\pm 2$ % relative humidity in accordance with Practice D 1776. A 24-h period is usually sufficient.

#### 9. Procedure

- 9.1 Perform all tests in the standard atmosphere for testing textiles, which is  $21 \pm 1$ °C or  $70 \pm 2$ °F and 65°  $\pm 2$ % relative humidity.
- 9.2 Calibrate the test instrument as specified by the manufacturer. Test certified control packages and evaluate them using statistical procedures to verify calibration.
  - 9.3 Test Conditions:
- 9.3.1 Use the test conditions as specified in Table 1 for to the instrument being used. The use of these conditions will allow for the direct comparison of test results from the two instruments.

Note 2—Due to the difference in heater length on the two test instruments, the draw roll speed is different to maintain the same dwell time of the yarn in the heater. It is important to maintain the same dwell time in the heater at a given heat to assure reproducible data for extension force test.

Note 3—For conditions other than those given in 9.3.1, see Appendix X1 for test condition optimization, which provides for direct comparison between users.

- 9.4 Procedure for Testing Specimens:
- 9.4.1 Remove surface yarn from the outside of each package immediately before the test is run to remove damaged or disturbed yarn.
  - 9.4.2 Mount the test package on a suitable holder.
  - 9.4.3 Turn the instrument on.
- 9.4.4 Feed the yarn through the instrument as specified by the instrument operator's manual (see Fig. 1 and Fig. 2).
  - 9.4.5 Set the yarn test speed.
  - 9.4.6 Check the varn pretension, if applicable.
  - 9.4.7 Run the test for a minimum of 1 min.

## 10. Calculation


- 10.1 Calculate the average extension force of each package in the laboratory sample from the chart recorder or input signal to the microprocessor.
- 10.2 Calculate the average extension force and coefficient of variation for the lot.

**TABLE 1 Test Conditions** 

Dalamara Tana	DYNAFIL, 7.6 Pretension		62-cm Heater Draw Roll Speed,	Heat,	Draw	
Polymer Type	cN/tex	g/den	den m/min	°C	Ratio	
Polyester	0.90	0.10	50	150	1.60	
Nylon	0.90	0.10	50	150	1.40	
Polypropylene	0.90	0.10	100	140	1.60	
DTI, 104-cm Heater						
Polymer Type			Draw Roll Speed	Heat Draw		
			m/min	°C	Ratio	
Polyester			68.2	150	1.60	
Nylon			68.2	150	1.40	
Polypropylene			136.5	140	1.60	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> DYNAFIL, available from Lawson-Himphill Sales, Inc., P.O. Drawer 6388, Spartanburg, SC 29304, has been found suitable. Textechno, Dohrweg 65, D-41066, Monchengladbach. Germany.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> DTI, available from W. Fritz Mezger, Inc., 155 Hall Street, Spartanburg, SC, 29302, has been found suitable. Lenzing AG, Lenzing Instruments, Pichlwangerstrasse, 4860 Lenzing, Austria.



- 1. Compensating Roll
- 2. Pretension Weight
- 3. Supply Godet with Laying Roll
- 4. Stepped Godet (Draw Roll)
- Treaded Take—up (Aspirator)
- 6. Treaded Tensioner
- 7. Non-Contact Heater Tube
- 8. Load Cell with Measuring Roll
- 9. Measuring Bridge
- 10. Chart Recorder (Microprocessor)

FIG. 1 Layout of Extension Force Measuring Unit—DYNAFIL

# 11. Report

- 11.1 State that the test was performed as directed in this test method.
- 11.2 Report the following information for the laboratory sampling unit and for the lot as applicable to a material specification or contract order.
- 11.2.1 Lot number, individual package identification, denier, and number of filaments of feed yarn.
  - 11.2.2 Sampling protocol (number of packages tested and

number of tests per package).

- 11.2.3 Test conditions (see Table 1).
- 11.2.4 Average extension force for each package tested.
- 11.2.5 Average extension force and coefficient of variation for the lot.
  - 11.2.6 Any modifications to the test.

#### 12. Precision and Bias

12.1 Summary—In comparing two single observations, the difference should not exceed 1.5 percentage points of the average of the two observations in 95 out of 100 cases when both observations are taken by the same well-trained operator using the same piece of test equipment and specimens randomly drawn from the same sample of material. Larger differences likely are to occur under all other circumstances. See 12.2-12.4 for an explanation of the basis for this summary and for evaluations made under other conditions.

12.2 Interlaboratory Test Data<sup>6</sup>—An interlaboratory test was run in 1995 in which the DYNAFIL and DTI were both used and randomly drawn samples of three materials (see Table 2) were tested in each of six laboratories (five DYNAFILS and one DTI). Two operators in each laboratory tested five specimens of each material on two different days. Analysis of the data was conducted using Practice D 2904, Practice D 2906, and the adjunct TEX-PAC. The components of variance for extension force results expressed as standard deviations are shown in Table 2.

12.3 Critical Differences—For the components of variance reported in Table 2, two averages of observed values should be considered significantly different at the 95 % probability level if the difference equals or exceeds those shown in Table 3.

12.4 *Bias*—The procedure of this test method produces a test value that can be defined only in terms of a test method. There is no independent, reference method by which bias may be determined. This test method has no known bias.

## 13. Keywords

13.1 force, extension; yarn; partially-oriented

 $<sup>^6\,\</sup>mathrm{Supporting}$  data is available from ASTM Headquarters, Request RR: D13–1103.




FIG. 2 Layout of Extension Force Measuring Unit—DTI

TABLE 2 Average and Components of Variance<sup>A</sup>

Material Tested	Grand Average	Single-Operator Component	Within- Laboratory Component	Between- Laboratory Component
Polyester (POY) 127(70)/34 56T Polyester (POY)	39.97	0.36	0.0	0.65
265(150)/34 56T Nylon (POY)	65.01	0.71	0.0	1.49
95(70)/34 AJ28T	127.33	0.37	0.52	1.33

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>A</sup>Components of variance are given as standard deviations to express the variability in units of measure rather than the squares of those measures.

TABLE 3 Critical Differences for Conditions Noted<sup>A</sup>

Number of Observations	Single-Operator Precision	Within-Laboratory Precision	Between- Laboratory Precision
Polyester (POY) 127	′(70)/34 56T		
1	1.0	1.0	2.0
5	0.4	0.4	1.8
10	0.3	0.3	1.8
Polyester (POY) 265	5(150)/34 56T		
1	2.0	2.0	4.6
5	0.9	0.9	4.2
10	0.6	0.6	4.2
Nylon (POY) 95(70)/	34 AJ28T		
1	1.0	1.8	4.1
5	0.5	1.5	4.0
10	0.3	1.5	4.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>A</sup>The critical differences were calculated using z = 1.960.

# **APPENDIX**

(Nonmandatory Information)

#### X1. OPTIMIZATION OF EXTENSION FORCE TEST CONDITIONS

X1.1 The selection of test conditions (yarn speed, heater temperature, and draw ratio) that will assure data reproductivity for extension force testing is as follows:

X1.1.1 Select a draw ratio (DR) based on the ratio of the tex (denier) of the feed yarn to the drawn yarn, using Eq X1.1:

$$DR = F/D (X1.1)$$

where:

DR = draw ratio,

F = feed yarn, tex (denier), and D = drawn yarn, tex (denier).

X1.1.2 If a set of draw rolls is not available at the calculated DR, use the next higher ratio that is attainable.

X1.2 Select speed and temperature settings that assure effective yarn temperatures that exceed the glass transition temperature ( $T_g$ ) of the yarn being tested. This condition provides the most stable results for extension force testing. This condition is met on the flat portion of the curves in Fig. X1.1.

X1.2.1 To select speed and temperature combinations, develop a series of extension force versus speed plots at increasing heater temperature settings as shown in Fig. X1.1. Select heater temperatures and speed combinations that fall on the flat portion of the curve.

X1.3 The fiber producer should be a source for test conditions that provide the most stable test results for extension force testing.




FIG. X1.1 Temperature and Speed Effects on Extension Force



The American Society for Testing and Materials takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org).